ISSN 2348 - 9928
IJAICT Volume 7, Issue 8, August 2020 Doi:10.46532/ijaict-2020027 Published on 05 (08) 2020

Cloud Provisioning and Modeling of Internet of Things (IoT) Systems for Evaluating Big Data Uncertainties

[1]Yuji Huang and [2]Aravindhan K
[1] Graduate School of Computer and Information Sciences, Hosei University, Japan.
[2]Department of Computer Science and Engineering, SNS College of Engineering, Tamil Nadu, India.
[1]yujihuang_cis@cis.hosei.ac.jp, [2] aravindhan03@gmail.com

ArticleInfo
International Journal of Advanced Information and Communication Technology
(https://www.ijaict.com/journals/ijaict/ijaict_home.html)
https://doi.org/10.46532/ijaict-2020027
Received 18 April 2020; Revised form 22 May 2020; Accepted 30 July 2020; Available online 05 August 2020.

Abstract— The present cyber-physical schemes and the Internet of Things (IoT) schemes comprise of both complex and simple interactions defining the different sources of the IoT systems such as cloud information and the edge internet service centres. All the modeling frameworks have been established on the virtualization dimensions that include both the cloud and the edge structures. Apart from that, the systems deal with big data based on the connections of various forms of services and networks. In that case, various forms of data uncertainties are evident. These uncertainties include elasticity and actuation uncertainties. As a result, this leads to a number of challenges that affect the process of testing these uncertainties in the big data systems. Nonetheless, there is a research gap present to effectively model and design the precise infrastructure frameworks that handle the necessities for evaluating these emergent big data uncertainties. With that regard, this scholastic paper focusses on the techniques used to generate and determine the deployment configurations used in the process of evaluating both the cloud and IoT systems. In this research, the survey will consider the actual-world application for analysing and monitoring the transceiver frameworks.

Keywords— Big Data; Internet of Things (IoT); Cloud Computing; Cyber Physical Systems (CPS); Unified Modeling Language (UML).

1. Introduction

The incorporation of Internet of Things (IoT) and its recent advancements, including the edge structures have significantly enhanced the operation of Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS). Cloud computing and the edge infrastructures have also facilitated the advancement of IoT schemes. The operation, development and design of the system are a challenge to organizations massive data recording due to the evident complexity of the IoT systems and the application services determining internet connectivity. The rationale of this research analysis deals with the certain problems defining the operations and designing of IoT, cloud systems and edge structures which will be applied in various applications such as the intelligent cities, Geosports and predictive maintenance of internet devices [1]. In the software applications, the components are applied to the run the hardware and software systems of IoT and CPS frameworks that are available in both the private and public IoT and cloud computing infrastructures like Amazon, Azure, Google and FIWARE. In the process of execution, internet applications would require the addition of novel systems because of the degree of elasticity of workloads which needs adaption and configuration. Since the IoT and CPS frameworks include the IoT devices, edge frameworks and Cloud-centred services in the respective data warehouses this scholastic research signifies the elements as IoT cloud systems. The terminology IoT cloud frameworks and CPS systems are utilized in a substitutable manner. The paper is structured as follows: Part 2 provides an evaluation of the background analysis of the research while Part 3 discusses the tooling in system architecture for uncertainty evaluation. Part 3 discusses the modeling process of IoT cloud computing structures and the uncertainties evident in the process of testing. Part 4 evaluates the evident provisioning infrastructure under testing. Part 5 discusses the related works while Part 6 concludes the paper and provides future works to be investigated.

2. Background Analysis of The Research

The ideology behind the analysis of the cloud provisioning and modeling of IoT systems for evaluating big data uncertainties is based on the need to test and deploy the internet infrastructures for IoT and CPS systems which incorporate the IoT frameworks at the cloud and edge services in information centres [2]. The methodologies used by these frameworks are on an extreme demand by big data developers of modern IoT and CPS analytics. Moreover, due to the fact that the systems are based on different IoT, cloud computing and edge infrastructure that are centred on the various internet provides a lot of big data uncertainties that are reported in the process and these range from the identifiable source devices to feature the emergent IoT elasticity and data systems that have to be evaluated. In this research, the data uncertainties are recognized as the lack of information certainties in reference to the precise nature or timing of input request for data [3]. Apart from that, the future projection of the state of data is also a prevailing factor that motivates this research. In the process of evaluating the uncertainties in the IoT cloud computing frameworks, it is recognized that there is the lack of tools that might potentially allow the developers and data analytics to formulate appealing designs like the IoT cloud computing infrastructures considering the internet tests [4].

Irrespective of the fact that it is problematic to incorporate the cloud computing and IoT resources, various internet devices and tools such as SALSA have presently been established [5]. Nonetheless, based on the application of these tools the key issue is still for the researchers and developers to fix the present structures which forces them to specify the precise definition of the infrastructures e.g. HOT and TOSCA for the purpose of the categorical incorporation. It is inflexible since transforming the aspect of IoT infrastructure to conform to (Systems under Test) SUT is not a simple task due to the uncertainties that will be recorded in the process. Moreover, these tools are different from the test aims since they significantly dwell on the application of internet frameworks [6]. Generally, the engineering experts of the software allow the process of different cloud modelling and IoT elements. Nonetheless, the engineering process lacks the incorporation of the internet deployment tools. A lot of these elements are absent of the uncertainty objectives; for instance, testing. Some of the tools used in the process of testing are capable of generating the test instances but are still deficient of the features to determine the test configuration centred on the uncertain frameworks.

In this research, an investigation of the manner in which researchers and developers would categorically evaluate the IoT and cloud computing systems via the conventional software development and designs is a significant aspect of big data. It is essential to provide the precision of internet systems and evaluate configurations and uncertainties at an incredible dimension such as the application of Unified Modeling Language (UML) models to produce the system deployments and configurations to be used by developers and researchers [7]. This necessitates not just the integration between different tools from various operations, but also necessitates the novel features to focus on the design frameworks of the modeling routine that includes the IoT and cloud computing infrastructures relevant for the testing of uncertainties.

2.1 Research Configurations

To effectively provide the analysis of the cloud provisioning and modeling of IoT systems for evaluating big data uncertainties, this paper focusses on the deployment and preparation of IoT and cloud computing frameworks to enhance uncertainty evaluation. The configuration and methodology allow researchers and developers to categorize SUT at an extreme dimension in conjunction to the fundamental uncertainties which the developers use to test [8]. In addition to that, the projected factors included in the process of evaluation considers the system provided. The SUT is vital in the process of providing SUT deployments and configurations to formulate cloud computing infrastructure such as SUT.

It is essential for developers to apply a novel profile of a system that will be used to specify the IoT cloud computing system element in consideration to the testing process of these uncertainties and configurations [9]. As a result, this might potentially surpass the works of modelling the cloud computing or IoT Systems. System configuration deployment and generation of IoT cloud frameworks is beyond the present applications necessary in the establishment of the precise deployment of various underlying infrastructures. The paper's contributions are based on the technologies which allow researchers to investigate the uncertainties and testing frameworks in cloud and IoT settings [10]. With the application of the actual-world examples with the IoT cloud frameworks used in the process of predicting and investigating, the maintenance of the Base Transceivers Station which is centred on cloud and IoT services and resources is possible.

3. The Tooling in System Architecture for Uncertainty Evaluation

In the process of establishing the IoT and cloud computing applications and systems various software application tools are introduced by various developers are availed as deployable formats and service cases in the reposition of software applications such as virtual machine formats, executable software packages and the Docker image [11]. The formulation of these applications might be a segment of application uncertainties' testing. The tooling system incorporates the following tools:

3.1 The Tooling System

Public Tools

These denote the internet aspect of IoT and cloud computing frameworks from the present developers which are denoted by Pa. These tools incorporate the Virtual Machine (VM) formats, Docker Container Pictures, the container VM pictures with the application components and the executable application infrastructures [12]. These tools are incredibly stored and developed in the private and public cloud computing or IoT systems. These images are all availed for user deployment and downloading.

Customized tools

The customized tools of the internet elements among the SUTa. These tools might not be incorporated in the Pa but they have been specified for the SUT. For instance, a developer can design the software sensors in the Docker containers as the SUTa that will potentially be executed on the virtual machine as the Pa [13].

Test usage

This tool is represented as TUa which are utilities and established to enhance testing purposes. These are segments of test infrastructures that are not necessarily a segment under tests.

With the example of tools that have been mentioned above, the log collectors in the containers of SUTa can potentially be termed as test utilities since it aids in the collection of logs in the containers used in the process of testing. In general, the tools include the APIs used to deploy and execute systems also they are well-acknowledged by developers since these tools can be specified and modelled by the respective developers [14]. For instance, Pa can be utilized or instantiated via the tools given by the corresponding providers whereas SUTa are typically linked to the certain APIs and Operations to enhance the configuration and invoking the testing process. In reference to these complexities from the IoT cloud framework provisioning and developments, it is possible to draw assumptions that an individual tool is capable of dealing with testing, provisioning and modeling. In that case, we have leveraged the pipeline aspect to formulate and apply the tools used in the provisioning of SUT. To start with, developers are allowed to follow some engineering infrastructures to give various opinions about the SUIT through graphic and textual frameworks based on the application of some tools [15]. Behavioural and structural data is significantly augmented with uncertainties to effectively tailor the provisioning of the SUT.

3.2 The Provisioning Methodologies

We can possibly extract different data from the design frameworks and evaluate the configurations, whereby the extracted data can enhance the selection of effective elements and to evaluate tests. This necessitates the incorporation of complex tools since there are a lot of steps that span from modelling of software engineering to the runtime configuration and provisioning [16]. Particularly, dependent on the test configuration and the presence of resource providers and developers require various provisioning methodologies. These methodologies include:

Modeling
The modeling methodology represents the IoT cloud systems potential uncertainty, testing and a number of behavioural data. This method is entirely dependent on the modeling profiles of UML.

Extracting framework data
The extraction of data is a method that retrieves different forms of data that are specified in the model and establishes data that are present in other tools.

Producing test configurations
This creates various test configurations centred on uncertainties, parameters and other present IoT resources. Every configuration will be linked to the certain deployment configurations for SUT.

SUT deployment
The deployment of SUT performs the testing of SUT application. One of the vital segments of this methodology is its extensibility.

In the modeling segment, the developers can effectively point out the different forms of data for the purpose of extraction [17]. Nonetheless, during the process of generating testing configuration, developers have to consider different parameters that are linked to cost, the various underlying cloud and IoT systems. In that case, we expect to incorporate various means such as implementing plugins to the process of producing effective deployments and configurations.

4. Modelling of The Internet of Things Structures and Uncertainties in Tests

In the process of modeling, one of the most vital segments is considering SUT which involves the analysis of uncertainties and configurations. This necessitates developers to handle significant issues of cloud computing and IOT that are incredibly popular while placing uncertainties into SUT which have not been evaluated. Though there are some research analyses that handle the issue of IOT modeling and the cloud-centred frameworks as a major segment of model-stimulated engineering procedure, an interlinked testing and modeling aspect with uncertainties is a key issue throughout the modeling process [18]. As such leveraging a model-stimulated engineering process is significant to handle the complex SUT that assures effective designing, operation and implementation of IoT cloud frameworks under uncertainties. Due to this reason, developers capture IoT infrastructure as SUT in the UML models. The UML represents a protocol which is an overall purpose modeling algorithm that is presently being utilized by developers in organizations.

4.1 Modeling IoT and Cloud Computing Schemes in Testing

In the process of modeling IoT, researchers focus on the extension of UML with minimal concepts purposed to signify the underlying systems and the constitution of IoT infrastructure [19]. We focus on representing different forms of units categorically to produce the same modelling articulacy based on the incorporation of communication protocols and devices. In that case, designing guidelines in analysis of system profiles is essential:

- To start with, we recommend a generic abstraction stereotype based on the concept crosscutting platform and software representation.
- Secondly, we include two specialized and concrete stereotypes in addition to the Virtual Physical prefix to renaming of the generics abstraction stereotype in case it aids the disambiguating terminologies applied to both hardware and software domains.
- Thirdly, we include the common features in the generic abstraction stereotypes. The infrastructure is incorporated with generic and multiple units with most of them identifiable with descriptions, configuration and location elements.

Particularly, configuration signifies the settings that are linked to the Virtual and Physical units which represent software and hardware resources. Both the virtual and physical units represent complex frameworks elements that consider IoT frameworks. The physical units are linked to the sensors and actuators that are certainly termed as Physical Units [20]. The actuators signify the hardware elements which transit the status of the various environment, whereby every actuator realize the physical capabilities. The sensor signifies the components whereby the physical units do not consider the specific environments such as humidity and temperature sensors. With that being said, every physical unit is connected to the metrics which are able to collect [21]. For instance, the thermostats physical unit incorporate the sensors meant to gather humidity and temperature i.e. the physical capacity to gather dual metrics and the actuators that include the capabilities to transit humidity and temperature of the prevailing surrounding. Certain form of IO Devices and Physical Units are located in the CPS such as switches, gateways, routers, hubs and the protocol converters. For this aim, the IO devices form the enumeration segment that is illustrated with the distinguishable enumeration literation of one another. Each of the physical units is linked to at least a single Virtual Unit running over it. For instance, the PLC code that is run or governed as machines in the production systems and in the systems of production. As projected, the developers should assume a specular segment of IoT concepts to effectively define the software systems of the infrastructures. The Virtual Unit is linked to the Virtual Sensors and Virtual Actuators that are in turn certain forms of Virtual Units. The virtual actuators signify the software elements based in owning virtual units controls of the hardware elements interacting with the surrounding [22]. Every Virtual Actuator is capable of realising more than a single virtual capacity.

The modeling of uncertainties in the testing of IoT and cloud computing uncertainties requires capturing the relevant models and uncertainties in a systematic manner. In reference to our uncertainty's taxonomies, this research recommends the development of the uncertainty profile that will model the uncertainties inherent in the IoT cloud scheme. The system uncertainties provides the extension of the vital uncertainties and stereotype that is featured by the certain properties that are modelled by the UML enumeration forms such as location samples, temporary manifestation forms, non-functional dimensionalities forms, cause forms, time type analysers, function dimensionality forms, data provisioning, actuation issues, execution surrounding uncertainties, governance uncertainties, storage uncertainties and elasticity uncertainty [23]. Every family is featured by certain form of values that are assigned to the system uncertainty feature which determines if the uncertainties belong to a certain family. The system level uncertainty profile signifies a part of the uncertainty UMF given by the U-test projects. As a result of the spacing limitations detailed uncertainties family profiles are provided as a domain model.

4.2 Testing Configuration Model

To effectively test IoT cloud computing schemes, we should incredibly extend the framework models with the test-specific concepts in the process of evaluating the testing profiles [24]. In consideration to the uncertainties and infrastructure profiles, the developers are able to represent a vital asset that is meant to formulate the UML input tool in the pipeline. Provided the system structure and the interlinked infrastructure uncertainties, various testing goals are applicable. These include:

- Testing the information delivery uncertainties between the units such as the virtual gateways and the cloud services.
- Testing the management of the virtual gateways and the cloud services. Moreover, there are a lot of infrastructures and providers that are potentially chosen by the researchers and developers.

5. Provisioning Scheme Under Testing

5.1 Extraction data from Models

Cloud Computing and IoT Resource models have expected uncertainty and communication standards to be evaluated and are retrieved from the models in the JSON-centred explanation. The main thing is to stimulate different tools to utilize the retrieved data for various aims. In this research, the retrieved data is utilized to determine testing deployment and configuration (but certainly not for performing the testing process that is out of the paper's scope). We apply the data obtained using the framework known as EPSILON. The system EPSILON gives the tools environment for MDE undertakings, comprising the template-centred model to-testing algorithm used to provide the codes, textual tools and documentation using the UML models. The Listing 1 below indicated the executable protocol applying EPSILO EGX coordination system which changes every UML category annotated with Virtual sensors to JSON apply the EGL templates. Listing 2 in the extraction procedure represents the EPSILON system replacing the vibrant placeholders with the property valuation from the typecast presentation.

Listing 1: Transformation Protocol for Virtual Sensors

```
Protocol VirtualSensor2JSON
Convert virtual sensor: Class {
Guard: virtual sensor. Has Stereotype ("
Virtual Sensor ")
Template: “JSONTemplate. Egl”
Target: " virtual sensors /" + virtual sensor. Name +
". Json”
}
```

Listing 2: Template for Virtual Sensor JSON files

```
{
“Name ": "[%= virtual sensor. Base Class. Name %]”,
“SW Capabilities ": [%= virtual sensor. SW Capabilities
%] ,
“Deployed On ": [%= virtual sensor. Deployed On %],
“Type ": “Virtual Sensor "
}
```

The tool runtime and repositories data services are to support the test process, SUT modeling frameworks have to be considered as concrete tools and test application that is encrypted in repositories. SUT frameworks like virtual machines, gateways tools and MQTT brokers are significantly prebuilt and accomplished in various depositories. In the same case, test usage is significantly diversified because of the variation between the fundamental system givers. In this research, we evaluated that tools for testing (SUTa, TUa and Pa) are present. The developers need to leverage application tools from:

- Public repository like the Docker hub and the Google's registry that gives contemporary technologies like managing and deploying VMs and containers.
- User-given repository with the same technological advancements like utilizing the Docker registry and Google encryption tools.

For the SUT developers, the tools necessitate the connection of various repositories to look for efficient tools. In that regard, we formulate the metadata service centred on the MongoDB for out tools. Whereas tools can be encrypted for various repositories the researchers will require issuing metadata from our configuration generation tools that can look for precise underlying systems. We depend on resource data services to give data on running cases of SUT and tools frameworks [25]. For instance, whenever we identify that VM instances Pa is usable, we typically can apply SUTa.

6. Related Literature Work

Different software systems and application centred on cloud computing and IoT resources have been formulated over the past few decades. Whereas different aspects considering the designs of these applications and systems have been analysed. For instance, protocols and networks have not witnessed the challenges of the tests and the manner in which connections will be done to formulate and deploy the systems in a more integrated segment. In the recent times, a number of literature works have been centred on evaluating data uncertainties and challenges. Nonetheless, these works do not concentrate on linking CPS and IoT engineering obligations and designs with testing. Nonetheless, the work identifies the significance of handling the data uncertainties. Modeling IoT cloud computing schemes elements have MDE tools and approaches for significantly mapping the cloud model frameworks into cloud resources. IoT provides a novel segment of modeling for the IoT. A lot of researches are centred on the modeling CPS and IoT [26]. This paper is focused in the direction by first explicitly represented by uncertainties as a dominant category concern in our framework and secondly on the viewpoint that algorithms of IoT cloud computing frameworks will shift to the extreme levels. The present works however do not incorporate uncertainties in modelling including SUT testing and provisioning.

7. Conclusion and Future Projections

In conclusion, this research has indicated the necessity of provisioning and modeling IoT cloud computing frameworks under testing for uncertainties from the aspects of system and software developers. To effectively simplify the work of the researchers and developers, the research enables them to establish uncertainties and SUT at an extreme-level that produces the necessary structure for tests. In this research, we have presented the tools pipeline that range from extraction modeling of data, producing test configurations, formulating provisioning and deployment IoT cloud systems. With the tools discussed in this paper, developers are capable of testing the uncertainties with different underlying IoT and cloud computing developers. Validating and prototyping IoT cloud infrastructure and description SUT representing uncertainties tests is a prevailing issue. Whereas the prototype of the modeling sector is well evaluated. Our implemented algorithms for producing optimum descriptions and configurations is centred on different parameters of test methods, costs, cloud provides and uncertainties which have to be evaluated in the future. Moreover, it is essential to focus on developing various adapters which allow the integration of different prevailing IoT, cloud computing providers and platforms.

References

[1]. J. Park, "Low-cost image indexing for massive database", *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 74, no. 7, pp. 2237-2255, 2014. Available: 10.1007/s11042-014-2026-y.

[2]. K. Järvelin and T. Niemi, "Advanced tools for data conversion and database cost modelling", *Information & Management*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 11-24, 1987. Available: 10.1016/0378-7206(87)90026-7.

[3]. K. Bowles, "Developing evidence-based tools from EHR data", *Nursing Management (Springhouse)*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 18-20, 2014. Available: 10.1097/01.numa.0000444881.93063.7c.

[4]. N. Ahmed, D. De and I. Hussain, "Internet of Things (IoT) for Smart Precision Agriculture and Farming in Rural Areas", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 4890-4899, 2018. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2018.2879579.

[5]. H. Saikia and D. Bhattacharjee, "A Study on Similarity of Websites of Management Institutes Using Data Mining Tools", *Prabandhan: Indian Journal of Management*, vol. 3, no. 5, p. 3, 2010. Available: 10.17010/pijom/2010/v3i5/61130.

[6]. Z. Zhou, S. Yu, W. Chen and X. Chen, "CE-IoT: Cost-Effective Cloud-Edge Resource Provisioning for Heterogeneous IoT Applications", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, pp. 1-1, 2020. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2020.2994308.

[7]. N. Ahmed, D. De and I. Hussain, "Internet of Things (IoT) for Smart Precision Agriculture and Farming in Rural Areas", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 4890-4899, 2018. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2018.2879579.

[8]. T. Ojha, S. Misra, N. Raghuwanshi and H. Poddar, "DVSP: Dynamic Virtual Sensor Provisioning in Sensor–Cloud-Based Internet of Things", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 5265-5272, 2019. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2019.2899949.

[9]. T"NB-IoTtalk: A Service Platform for Fast Development of NB-IoT Applications", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, pp. 1-1, 2018. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2018.2865583.

[10]. M. Adhikari and H. Gianey, "Energy efficient offloading strategy in fog-cloud environment for IoT applications", *Internet of Things*, vol. 6, p. 100053, 2019. Available: 10.1016/j.iot.2019.100053.

[11]. Sahil and S. Sood, "Smart vehicular traffic management: An edge cloud centric IoT based framework", *Internet of Things*, p. 100140, 2019. Available: 10.1016/j.iot.2019.100140.

[12]. S. Cai and V. Lau, "Cloud-Assisted Stabilization of Large-Scale Multiagent Systems by Over-the-Air-Fusion of IoT Sensors", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 7748-7759, 2019. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2019.2901576.

[13]. J. Yao and N. Ansari, "Fog Resource Provisioning in Reliability-Aware IoT Networks", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 6,

no. 5, pp. 8262-8269, 2019. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2019.2922585.

[14]. C. Prabhu, "Overview - Fog Computing and Internet-of-Things (IOT)", *EAI Endorsed Transactions on Cloud Systems*, vol. 3, no. 10, p. 154378, 2017. Available: 10.4108/eai.20-12-2017.154378.

[15]. D. Altinel and G. Karabulut Kurt, "Modeling of Multiple Energy Sources for Hybrid Energy Harvesting IoT Systems", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 10846-10854, 2019. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2019.2942071.

[16]. V. Casola, A. De Benedictis, M. Rak and U. Villano, "Toward the automation of threat modeling and risk assessment in IoT systems", *Internet of Things*, vol. 7, p. 100056, 2019. Available: 10.1016/j.iot.2019.100056.

[17]. D. Minoli, "Positioning of blockchain mechanisms in IOT-powered smart home systems: A gateway-based approach", *Internet of Things*, p. 100147, 2019. Available: 10.1016/j.iot.2019.100147.

[18]. M. Gushev, "Dew Computing Architecture for Cyber-Physical Systems and IoT", *Internet of Things*, vol. 11, p. 100186, 2020. Available: 10.1016/j.iot.2020.100186.

[19]. L. Chettri and R. Bera, "A Comprehensive Survey on Internet of Things (IoT) Toward 5G Wireless Systems", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 16-32, 2020. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2019.2948888.

[20]. S. Farjad, "A Novel IoT Switching Model Based on Cloud-Centric RTDBS", *EAI Endorsed Transactions on Internet of Things*, vol. 5, no. 19, p. 163967, 2019. Available: 10.4108/eai.29-7-2019.163967.

[21]. A. Kaur and S. Sood, "Cloud-Centric IoT-Based Green Framework for Smart Drought Prediction", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 1111-1121, 2020. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2019.2951610.

[22]. F. Song, M. Zhu, Y. Zhou, I. You and H. Zhang, "Smart Collaborative Tracking for Ubiquitous Power IoT in Edge-Cloud Interplay Domain", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, pp. 1-1, 2019. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2019.2958097.

[23]. C. Lin, K. Ramakrishnan, J. Liu and E. Ngai, "Guest Editorial Special Issue on Cloud Computing for IoT", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 254-256, 2016. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2016.2554738.

[24]. T. Pflanzner and A. Kertesz, "A Taxonomy and Survey of IoT Cloud Applications", *EAI Endorsed Transactions on Internet of Things*, vol. 3, no. 12, p. 154391, 2018. Available: 10.4108/eai.6-4-2018.154391.

[25]. S. Guo, Y. Dai, S. Xu, X. Qiu and F. Qi, "Trusted Cloud-Edge Network Resource Management: DRL-driven Service Function Chain Orchestration for IoT", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, pp. 1-1, 2019. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2019.2951593.

[26]. Z. Lv and W. Xiu, "Interaction of Edge-Cloud Computing Based on SDN and NFV for Next Generation IoT", *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, pp. 1-1, 2019. Available: 10.1109/jiot.2019.2942719.